

Prkic, Christina (COE)

IND 04-58

From: Eve Boutsis [eboutsis@ngf-law.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2004 6:23 PM
To: cprkic@miamidade.gov
Subject: FW: breder conflict with P&Z matter

Importance: High

Christina:

Can you please review my comments and provide me with an informal recommendation of some sort?

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Earl Gallop
> Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2004 4:25 PM
> To: Eve Boutsis
> Subject: RE: breder conflict with P&Z matter

> Your analysis is good, but I think it is too close for comfort. Would you mind having an informal consultation with Chirstina P?

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Eve Boutsis
> Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2004 10:24 AM
> To: Earl Gallop
> Cc: Debra Jester
> Subject: breder conflict with P&Z matter
> Importance: High

> John Breder called. On Monday's agenda is an item, which is scheduled to be "deferred" -- the ABC Liquor request to change Blue Laws so that that ABC can serve liquor on Sundays. ABC is a tenant in a building that Mr. Breder manages. He is not the owner of building but the building manager. ABC's sales on Sunday do not affect the lease, do not increase value of lease, or affect Mr. Breder's management contract or relationship to ABC. He was concerned about voting on the item. As the item would allow sales for all liquor stores on Sunday, as he does not appear to have a special financial interest in ABC -- but, rather is manager of the shopping center (landlord perhaps arguably would have a special financial interest, although we would need to see the lease)- I do not see a conflict. I recommended that John disclose anyway - to avoid any appearance of impropriety, or accusations against him. Do you agree?